

---

# Unveiling Decentralization in Blockchain: Comparing Challenges of Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Solana

Josephine Brown  
Sunshine Coast College, Australia

## Abstract

Blockchain technology has revolutionized digital transactions and data security through its decentralized nature, ensuring transparency and immutability. This abstract explores the decentralization mechanisms of three prominent blockchain platforms: Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Solana. Bitcoin, the pioneer of blockchain technology, introduced the concept of a decentralized ledger through its Proof-of-Work (PoW) consensus algorithm, ensuring robust security but facing scalability issues and high energy consumption. Ethereum expanded on Bitcoin's foundation by integrating smart contracts, enabling decentralized applications (dApps). However, Ethereum's reliance on PoW has similarly encountered scalability and energy efficiency challenges, prompting its transition to Proof-of-Stake (PoS) through Ethereum 2.0. Solana represents a newer generation of blockchain technology, employing a unique Proof-of-History (PoH) mechanism in conjunction with PoS to achieve high throughput and low latency, addressing the scalability concerns present in its predecessors. This comparative analysis examines the technical architectures, consensus mechanisms, and inherent challenges of each platform, providing insights into their decentralization efficacy and future potential. While Bitcoin remains the gold standard for security, Ethereum's programmability and Solana's performance innovations highlight the diverse approaches to achieving decentralized trust and efficiency in blockchain networks.

**Keywords:** Blockchain, decentralization, Bitcoin, Ethereum, Solana, Proof of Work, Proof of Stake

## Introduction

Blockchain technology, since its inception with Bitcoin in 2008, has introduced a groundbreaking approach to secure, transparent, and decentralized digital transactions[1]. Bitcoin's blockchain, built on a Proof-of-Work (PoW) consensus mechanism, was the first to demonstrate the potential of a decentralized ledger that operates without a central authority. This innovation spurred the development of various blockchain platforms, each seeking to enhance and expand upon the foundational principles introduced by Bitcoin. Ethereum, launched in 2015, extended the blockchain paradigm by incorporating smart contracts—self-executing contracts with the terms of the agreement directly written into code[2]. This allowed for the creation of decentralized applications (dApps) and significantly broadened the scope and utility of blockchain technology. However, Ethereum's reliance on PoW has led to similar issues faced by Bitcoin, including scalability limitations and substantial energy consumption. In response, Ethereum has been transitioning to a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism through Ethereum 2.0, aiming to

improve efficiency and scalability. Solana, a more recent entrant in the blockchain space, has introduced novel approaches to consensus and scalability. By combining Proof-of-History (PoH) with Proof-of-Stake (PoS), Solana achieves high transaction throughput and low latency, addressing some of the critical bottlenecks observed in earlier blockchain platforms[3]. This innovative approach positions Solana as a high-performance blockchain suitable for a wide range of decentralized applications.

This paper aims to provide a comparative analysis of the decentralization technologies and challenges associated with Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Solana. By examining their technical architectures, consensus mechanisms, and the specific challenges each platform faces, we seek to offer a comprehensive understanding of their relative strengths and weaknesses. This comparison will highlight how these platforms contribute to the broader blockchain ecosystem and their potential to drive future advancements in decentralized technologies[4].

### **Bitcoin: The Pioneer of Decentralization**

Bitcoin, introduced by the pseudonymous Satoshi Nakamoto in 2008, operates on a Proof-of-Work (PoW) consensus mechanism. Its blockchain is a linked list of blocks, each containing a set of transactions. Miners compete to solve cryptographic puzzles, and the first to solve the puzzle adds the next block to the chain, receiving a reward in Bitcoin. This process ensures the security and immutability of the blockchain, but it requires significant computational power and energy, leading to concerns about scalability and environmental impact[5]. The Proof-of-Work (PoW) mechanism ensures security and decentralization by making it computationally expensive to alter transaction history. In the PoW system, miners compete to solve complex cryptographic puzzles, and the first to solve the puzzle gets to add a new block of transactions to the blockchain. This process, known as mining, requires significant computational power, which acts as a deterrent against malicious attacks[6]. The network's robustness is maintained by thousands of nodes that validate transactions and maintain copies of the blockchain, ensuring that any attempt to alter transaction history would require an immense amount of computational resources, making it economically unfeasible. Bitcoin's decentralization faces significant challenges, primarily due to the concentration of mining power in large mining pools. This centralization poses a risk of 51% attacks, where a single entity or group of entities controlling more than half of the network's mining power could potentially manipulate the blockchain, double-spend coins, and disrupt the network. Despite being theoretically difficult and economically impractical, the risk of such attacks remains a concern for the Bitcoin community[7].

### **Ethereum: Decentralized Computing Platform**

Ethereum, proposed by Vitalik Buterin in 2013 and launched in 2015, builds upon Bitcoin's blockchain concept by introducing smart contracts—self-executing contracts with the terms directly written into code. Ethereum also initially used PoW for consensus, but its network faces similar issues of scalability and energy consumption. To address these challenges, Ethereum is transitioning to a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism through the Ethereum 2.0 upgrade. PoS reduces the computational effort required to secure the blockchain by allowing validators to

create new blocks and confirm transactions based on the amount of cryptocurrency they hold and are willing to "stake" as collateral[8]. This shift aims to enhance scalability, security, and energy efficiency while maintaining decentralization. Ethereum initially adopted PoW, similar to Bitcoin, to secure its network. However, Ethereum is transitioning to a Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanism as part of its Ethereum 2.0 upgrade. In PoS, validators are chosen to create new blocks and validate transactions based on the number of tokens they hold and are willing to "stake" as collateral. This reduces the computational effort required compared to PoW and addresses some of the scalability and energy consumption issues. Validators are incentivized to act honestly, as they stand to lose their staked tokens if they attempt to compromise the network's integrity. Ethereum also encounters challenges, particularly related to its scalability and energy consumption under the current PoW mechanism. The network can handle about 15 TPS, which is insufficient for the growing number of decentralized applications (dApps) and users. This limitation results in network congestion, high gas fees, and slower transaction processing times. The transition to Ethereum 2.0 and its PoS consensus mechanism aims to alleviate these issues by significantly increasing transaction throughput and reducing energy consumption. However, this transition is complex and must be carefully managed to maintain network security and decentralization[9].

### **Solana: High-Performance Blockchain**

Solana, a newer blockchain platform launched in 2020 by Anatoly Yakovenko, introduces a unique consensus mechanism known as Proof-of-History (PoH), which works in conjunction with Proof-of-Stake (PoS). PoH provides a verifiable delay function that creates a historical record proving that an event has occurred at a specific moment in time. This enables Solana to achieve high throughput and low latency, processing thousands of transactions per second. PoS, meanwhile, enhances security by allowing validators to stake tokens, thereby incentivizing honest behavior. Solana's combination of PoH and PoS addresses the scalability issues faced by Bitcoin and Ethereum, making it a high-performance blockchain suitable for a wide range of decentralized applications[10]. Solana employs a unique consensus mechanism that combines Proof-of-History (PoH) with Proof-of-Stake (PoS). PoH introduces a cryptographic clock that timestamps events and transactions, creating a historical record that proves events occurred in a specific order. This allows the network to process transactions in parallel, significantly increasing throughput and reducing latency. PoS complements PoH by enabling validators to secure the network by staking their tokens. Validators are selected based on the amount of cryptocurrency they stake, and they verify the order and validity of the transactions recorded by PoH. This hybrid approach allows Solana to achieve high performance and scalability while maintaining security and decentralization[11]. Solana, while addressing scalability more effectively with its high throughput capabilities (processing thousands of TPS), faces challenges related to its relatively young and rapidly growing ecosystem. Ensuring robust security and decentralization as the network scales is a significant concern. Additionally, the complexity of its Proof-of-History (PoH) mechanism, coupled with Proof-of-Stake (PoS), introduces technical challenges in maintaining synchronization and preventing potential vulnerabilities. The rapid pace of development and the introduction of numerous projects on Solana's network also necessitate rigorous testing and

continuous improvement to safeguard against potential exploits and ensure the network's long-term resilience[12].

## Conclusion

Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Solana each offer distinct approaches to decentralization and scalability, reflecting different priorities and trade-offs. Bitcoin remains the most decentralized and secure but struggles with scalability. Ethereum seeks to balance decentralization and functionality, with its PoS transition promising improvements. Solana prioritizes high throughput and low latency but faces potential centralization challenges. In summary, while Bitcoin's challenges are centered around mining centralization and scalability, Ethereum's focus is on transitioning to a more efficient consensus mechanism to handle increasing demand. Solana's innovative approach offers promising solutions to scalability but must navigate the complexities of securing a rapidly expanding network. Future developments will continue to shape these platforms, with ongoing research and innovation driving the evolution of decentralization in blockchain technology. Understanding these differences is crucial for stakeholders to make informed decisions about which platform best meets their needs.

## References

- [1] K. A. R. Artha, S. N. Zain, A. A. Alkautsar, and M. H. Widiyanto, "Implementation of smart contracts for E-certificate as non-fungible token using Solana network," in *2022 IEEE 7th International Conference on Information Technology and Digital Applications (ICITDA)*, 2022: IEEE, pp. 1-6.
- [2] H. Song, Y. Wei, Z. Qu, and W. Wang, "Unveiling Decentralization: A Comprehensive Review of Technologies, Comparison, Challenges in Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Solana Blockchain," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.04841*, 2024.
- [3] G. A. Pierro and R. Tonelli, "Can solana be the solution to the blockchain scalability problem?," in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Software Analysis, Evolution and Reengineering (SANER)*, 2022: IEEE, pp. 1219-1226.
- [4] X. Li, X. Wang, T. Kong, J. Zheng, and M. Luo, "From bitcoin to solana—innovating blockchain towards enterprise applications," in *International Conference on Blockchain*, 2021: Springer, pp. 74-100.
- [5] H. S. Hassan, R. F. Hassan, and E. K. Gbashi, "E-Voting System Using Solana Blockchain," in *2022 4th International Conference on Current Research in Engineering and Science Applications (ICCRESA)*, 2022: IEEE, pp. 147-153.
- [6] G. B. Blocks, "An Introduction to Solana," *no. December*, 2021.
- [7] Á. Bayona Bultó, "A Comprehensive Evaluation of Ethereum, Solana, and Avalanche in Addressing the Blockchain Trilemma," 2023.
- [8] G. A. Pierro and A. Amoordon, "A Tool to check the Ownership of Solana's Smart Contracts," in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Software Analysis, Evolution and Reengineering (SANER)*, 2022: IEEE, pp. 1197-1202.
- [9] S. VAN WIJHE, "USING SENTIMENT ANALYSIS ON TWITTER TO PREDICT THE PRICE FLUCTUATIONS OF SOLANA," *tilburg university*.

- [10] J. Wang, "Exploring digital timestamping using smart contract on the Solana blockchain," in *Second International Conference on Green Communication, Network, and Internet of Things (CNIoT 2022)*, 2023, vol. 12586: SPIE, pp. 184-190.
- [11] A. Yakovenko, "Solana: A new architecture for a high performance blockchain v0. 8.13," *Whitepaper*, 2018.
- [12] X. Wang, Y. C. Wu, and Z. Ma, "Blockchain in the courtroom: exploring its evidentiary significance and procedural implications in US judicial processes," *Frontiers in Blockchain*, vol. 7, p. 1306058, 2024.